

ANTI-INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF A SASAKIAN MANIFOLD WITH VANISHING CONTACT BOCHNER CURVATURE TENSOR

KENTARO YANO

0. Introduction

In 1949, by using a complex coordinate system Bochner [3] (see also Yano and Bochner [23]) introduced, as an analogue of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor in a Riemannian manifold, what we now call the Bochner curvature tensor in a Kaehlerian manifold. In 1967 Tachibana [13] gave a tensor expression of this curvature tensor in a real coordinate system. Since then the tensor has been studied by Chen [5], Ishihara [25], Liu [14], Matsumoto [10], Sato [17], Tachibana [14], Takagi [15], Watanabe [15], Yamaguchi [17], and the present author [5], [19], [20], [21], [22], [25].

Let M^{2m} be a real $2m$ -dimensional Kaehlerian manifold with the almost complex structure F , and M^n an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in M^{2m} . If $T_x(M^n) \perp FT_x(M^n)$, where $T_x(M^n)$ denotes the tangent space to M^n at a point x of M^n and is identified with its image under the differential of the immersion, then we call M^n a *totally real* or *anti-invariant* submanifold of M^{2m} . Since the rank of F is $2m$, we have $n \leq 2m - n$, that is, $n \leq m$.

The totally real submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold have been studied by Chen [4], Houh [6], Kon [7], [26], [27], Ludden [8], [9], Ogiue [4], Okumura [8], [9] and the present author [8], [9], [21], [22], [26], [27].

As a theorem connecting the Weyl conformal curvature tensor and the Bochner curvature tensor, Blair [1] proved

Theorem A. *Let M^{2n} , $n \geq 4$, be a Kaehlerian manifold with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor, and M^n a totally geodesic, totally real submanifold of M^{2n} . Then M^n is conformally flat.*

Generalizing this theorem of Blair, the present author [21] established the following theorems.

Theorem B. *Let M^n , $n \geq 4$, be a totally umbilical, totally real submanifold of a Kaehlerian manifold M^{2m} with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor. Then M^n is conformally flat.*

Theorem C. *Let M^3 be a totally geodesic, totally real submanifold of a*

Kaehlerian manifold M^{2m} with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor. Then M^3 is conformally flat.

Theorem D. *Let M^n , $n \geq 4$, be a totally real submanifold of a Kaehlerian manifold M^{2n} with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor. If the second fundamental tensors of M^n commute, then M^n is conformally flat.*

The main purpose of the present paper is to obtain theorems, analogous to the above theorems, for anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor. For anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, see Blair and Ogiue [2], Yamaguchi, Kon and Ikawa [16], Yano and Kon [28], [29], and for the contact Bochner curvature tensor see Matsumoto and Chūman [11].

First of all, in § 1 we recall the definition and the fundamental properties of a Sasakian manifold. In § 2 we define a curvature tensor in a Sasakian manifold which is called the contact Bochner curvature tensor and corresponds to the Bochner curvature tensor in a Kaehlerian manifold.

§ 3 is devoted to general discussions on anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, and § 4 to the study of anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor.

In the last two sections (§§ 5 and 6) we study Sasakian manifolds with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor regarded as fibred spaces with invariant Riemannian metric (see Yano and Ishihara [24]).

1. Sasakian manifolds

We first of all recall the definition and the fundamental properties of almost contact manifolds for the later use. Let M^{2m+1} be a $(2m + 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U; x^i\}$ in which there are given a tensor field φ_i^{κ} of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field ξ^{κ} and a 1-form η_{λ} satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_i^{\kappa} \varphi_{\mu}^{\lambda} = -\delta_{\mu}^{\kappa} + \eta_{\mu} \xi^{\kappa}, \quad \varphi_i^{\kappa} \xi^{\lambda} = 0, \quad \eta_i \varphi_{\mu}^{\lambda} = 0, \quad \eta_i \xi^{\lambda} = 1,$$

where and in the sequel the indices $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \kappa, \lambda, \mu, \dots$ run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, 2m + 1\}$. Such a set (φ, ξ, η) consisting of a tensor field φ , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η is called an *almost contact structure*, and a manifold with an almost contact structure an *almost contact manifold* (see Sasaki [12]).

If the Nijenhuis tensor

$$(1.2) \quad N_{\mu\lambda}^{\kappa} = \varphi_{\mu}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\kappa} - \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi_{\mu}^{\kappa} - (\partial_{\mu} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} - \partial_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu}^{\alpha}) \varphi_{\alpha}^{\kappa}$$

formed with φ_i^{κ} satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad N_{\mu\lambda}^{\kappa} + (\partial_{\mu} \eta_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda} \eta_{\mu}) \xi^{\kappa} = 0,$$

where $\partial_{\mu} = \partial/\partial x^{\mu}$, then the almost contact structure is said to be *normal* and

the manifold is called a *normal almost contact manifold*.

Suppose that in an almost contact manifold there is given a Riemannian metric $g_{\mu\lambda}$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad g_{\tau\beta}\varphi_{\mu}^{\tau}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\beta} = g_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda}, \quad \eta_{\lambda} = g_{\lambda\alpha}\xi^{\alpha},$$

then the almost contact structure is said to be *metric*, and the manifold is called an *almost contact metric manifold*. In view of the second equation of (1.4) we shall write ξ_{λ} instead of η_{λ} in the sequel. In an almost contact metric manifold, the tensor field $\varphi_{\mu\lambda} = \varphi_{\mu}^{\alpha}g_{\alpha\lambda}$ is skew-symmetric.

If an almost contact metric structure satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi_{\mu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu}),$$

then the almost contact metric structure is called a *contact structure*. A manifold with a normal contact structure is called a *Sasakian manifold*.

It is well known that in a Sasakian manifold we have

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla_{\lambda}\xi^{\alpha} = \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha},$$

$$(1.7) \quad \nabla_{\mu}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} = -g_{\mu\lambda}\xi^{\alpha} + \delta_{\mu}^{\alpha}\xi_{\lambda},$$

where ∇_{λ} denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to $g_{\mu\lambda}$.

(1.6) written as $\nabla_{\lambda}\xi_{\alpha} = \varphi_{\lambda\alpha}$ shows that ξ^{α} is a Killing vector field.

(1.6), (1.7) and the Ricci identity

$$\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\xi^{\alpha} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\xi^{\alpha} = K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\alpha}\xi^{\lambda},$$

where $K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\alpha}$ is the curvature tensor, give

$$(1.8) \quad K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\alpha}\xi^{\lambda} = \delta_{\nu}^{\alpha}\xi_{\mu} - \delta_{\mu}^{\alpha}\xi_{\nu},$$

or

$$(1.9) \quad K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\alpha}\xi_{\alpha} = \xi_{\nu}g_{\mu\lambda} - \xi_{\mu}g_{\nu\lambda}.$$

From (1.9) by contraction we have

$$(1.10) \quad K_{\mu\lambda}\xi^{\lambda} = 2m\xi_{\mu},$$

where $K_{\mu\lambda} = K_{\alpha\mu\lambda}^{\alpha}$ is the Ricci tensor.

(1.6), (1.7) and the Ricci identity

$$\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} = K_{\nu\mu\alpha}^{\beta}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} - K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\beta}\varphi_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

imply

$$(1.11) \quad K_{\nu\mu\alpha}^{\beta}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} - K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\beta}\varphi_{\alpha}^{\beta} = -\varphi_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\mu\lambda} + \varphi_{\mu}^{\beta}g_{\nu\lambda} - \delta_{\nu}^{\beta}\varphi_{\mu\lambda} + \delta_{\mu}^{\beta}\varphi_{\nu\lambda},$$

from which, by contraction, it follows that

$$(1.12) \quad K_{\mu\alpha}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} + K_{\beta\mu\lambda\alpha}\varphi^{\beta\alpha} = -(2m-1)\varphi_{\mu\lambda},$$

where $\varphi^{\beta\alpha} = g^{\beta\lambda}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}$, $g^{\beta\lambda}$ being contravariant components of the metric tensor. Since $K_{\beta\mu\lambda\alpha}\varphi^{\beta\alpha}$ is skew-symmetric in μ and λ , we have from (1.12)

$$(1.13) \quad K_{\mu\alpha}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} + K_{\lambda\alpha}\varphi_{\mu}^{\alpha} = 0.$$

From (1.12) we also find

$$(1.14) \quad K_{\beta\alpha\mu\lambda}\varphi^{\beta\alpha} = 2K_{\mu\alpha}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} + 2(2m-1)\varphi_{\mu\lambda}.$$

2. Contact Bochner curvature tensor

As an analogue of the Bochner curvature tensor in a Kaehlerian manifold, we define the contact Bochner curvature tensor in a Sasakian manifold by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\epsilon} = & K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\epsilon} + (\delta_{\nu}^{\epsilon} - \xi_{\nu}\xi^{\epsilon})L_{\mu\lambda} - (\delta_{\mu}^{\epsilon} - \xi_{\mu}\xi^{\epsilon})L_{\nu\lambda} + L_{\nu}^{\epsilon}(g_{\mu\lambda} - \xi_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda}) \\ & - L_{\mu}^{\epsilon}(g_{\nu\lambda} - \xi_{\nu}\xi_{\lambda}) + \varphi_{\nu}^{\epsilon}M_{\mu\lambda} - \varphi_{\mu}^{\epsilon}M_{\nu\lambda} + M_{\nu}^{\epsilon}\varphi_{\mu\lambda} - M_{\mu}^{\epsilon}\varphi_{\nu\lambda} \\ & - 2(\varphi_{\nu\mu}M_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} + M_{\nu\mu}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}) + (\varphi_{\nu}^{\epsilon}\varphi_{\mu\lambda} - \varphi_{\mu}^{\epsilon}\varphi_{\nu\lambda} - 2\varphi_{\nu\mu}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad L_{\mu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2(m+2)}[-K_{\mu\lambda} - (L+3)g_{\mu\lambda} + (L-1)\xi_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda}],$$

$$L_{\mu}^{\epsilon} = L_{\mu\alpha}g^{\alpha\epsilon},$$

$$(2.3) \quad L = g^{\mu\lambda}L_{\mu\lambda},$$

$$(2.4) \quad M_{\mu\lambda} = -L_{\mu\alpha}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}, \quad M_{\nu}^{\epsilon} = M_{\nu\alpha}g^{\alpha\epsilon}.$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad L = -\frac{K + 2(3m+2)}{4(m+1)},$$

where K is the scalar curvature of the manifold.

Using (1.10) we have, from (2.2),

$$(2.6) \quad L_{\mu\lambda}\xi^{\lambda} = -\xi_{\mu},$$

which, together with the first equation of (2.4), yields

$$(2.7) \quad M_{\mu\alpha}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} = L_{\mu\lambda} + \xi_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda}.$$

We can easily verify that the contact Bochner curvature tensor satisfies the following identities :

$$(2.8) \quad B_{\nu\mu\lambda}{}^\epsilon = -B_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^\epsilon, \quad B_{\nu\mu\lambda}{}^\epsilon + B_{\mu\lambda\nu}{}^\epsilon + B_{\lambda\nu\mu}{}^\epsilon = 0, \quad B_{\alpha\mu\lambda}{}^\alpha = 0,$$

$$(2.9) \quad B_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} = -B_{\nu\mu\kappa\lambda}, \quad B_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} = B_{\lambda\kappa\nu\mu},$$

where $B_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} = B_{\nu\mu\lambda}{}^\alpha g_{\alpha\kappa}$ and

$$(2.10) \quad B_{\nu\mu\lambda}{}^\epsilon \xi_\epsilon = 0, \quad B_{\nu\mu\alpha}{}^\epsilon \varphi_\lambda{}^\alpha = B_{\nu\mu\lambda}{}^\alpha \varphi_\alpha{}^\epsilon, \quad B_{\nu\mu\lambda}{}^\epsilon \varphi^\nu{}^\mu = 0.$$

3. Anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold

We consider an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold $M^n, n > 1$, covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{V; y^h\}$ and isometrically immersed in a Sasakian manifold M^{2m+1} , and denote the immersion by

$$(3.1) \quad x^\epsilon = x^\epsilon(y^h)$$

where and in the sequel the indices h, i, j, \dots run over the range $\{1', 2', \dots, n'\}$. We put

$$(3.2) \quad B_i{}^\epsilon = \partial_i x^\epsilon \quad (\partial_i = \partial/\partial y^i),$$

and denote $2m + 1 - n$ mutually orthogonal unit vectors normal to M^n by $C_y{}^\epsilon$, where and in the sequel the indices x, y, z run over the range $\{(n + 1)', \dots, (2m + 1)'\}$.

Then the metric tensor g_{jt} of M^n and that of the normal bundle are respectively given by

$$(3.3) \quad g_{jt} = g_{\mu\lambda} B_{ji}{}^{\mu\lambda},$$

$$(3.4) \quad g_{zy} = g_{\mu\lambda} C_{zy}{}^{\mu\lambda},$$

where $B_{ji}{}^{\mu\lambda} = B_j{}^\mu B_i{}^\lambda$ and $C_{zy}{}^{\mu\lambda} = C_z{}^\mu C_y{}^\lambda$.

If the transform by $\varphi_i{}^\epsilon$ of any vector tangent to M^n is orthogonal to M^n , we say that the submanifold M^n is *anti-invariant* in M^{2m+1} . Since the rank of $\varphi_i{}^\epsilon$ is $2m$, we have $n - 1 \leq 2m + 1 - n$, that is, $n \leq m + 1$.

For an anti-invariant submanifold M^n in M^{2m+1} , we have equations of the form

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi_\lambda{}^\epsilon B_i{}^\lambda = -f_i{}^x C_x{}^\epsilon,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi_\lambda{}^\epsilon C_y{}^\lambda = f_y{}^i B_i{}^\epsilon + f_y{}^x C_x{}^\epsilon,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \xi^\epsilon = \xi^i B_i{}^\epsilon + \xi^x C_x{}^\epsilon.$$

Using $\varphi_{\mu\lambda} = -\varphi_{\lambda\mu}$ we have, from (3.5) and (3.6),

$$(3.8) \quad f_{iy} = f_{yt} ,$$

where $f_{iy} = f_i^z g_{zy}$ and $f_{yt} = f_y^j g_{jt}$ and

$$(3.9) \quad f_{yx} = -f_{xy} ,$$

where $f_{yx} = f_y^z g_{zx}$.

Applying φ to (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) and using (1.1), (3.8), (3.9) we find

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & f_i^y f_y^h = \delta_i^h - \xi_i \xi^h , \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & f_i^y f_y^x = -\xi_i \xi^x , \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & f_y^z f_z^h = \xi_y \xi^h , \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & f_y^z f_z^x = -\delta_y^x + \xi_y \xi^x + f_y^t f_t^x , \\ \text{(v)} \quad & f_x^t \xi^x = 0 , \\ \text{(vi)} \quad & f_i^x \xi^t = f_y^x \xi^y , \\ \text{(vii)} \quad & \xi_i \xi^i + \xi_y \xi^y = 1 , \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_i = g_{ih} \xi^h$ and $\xi_y = g_{yx} \xi^x$, (vii) being a consequence of $\xi_i \xi^i = 1$.

Differentiating (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) covariantly over M^n and using (1.6), (1.7), (3.10), equations of Gauss

$$(3.11) \quad \nabla_j B_i^x = h_{ji}^x C_x^x ,$$

and those of Weingarten

$$(3.12) \quad \nabla_j C_y^x = -h_j^t B_t^x ,$$

where ∇_j denotes the operator of covariant differentiation over M^n , and h_{ji}^x and $h_j^t B_t^x = h_{ji}^z g^{ti} g_{zy}$ are the second fundamental tensors of M^n with respect to the normals C_x^x , we find

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & -g_{ji} \xi^h + \delta_j^h \xi_i = -h_{ji}^x f_x^h + h_j^h f_i^x , \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \nabla_j f_i^x = g_{ji} \xi^x - h_{ji}^y f_y^x , \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \nabla_j f_y^h = \delta_j^h \xi_y + h_j^h f_y^x , \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & \nabla_j f_y^x = -h_{ji}^x f_y^t + h_j^t f_t^x , \\ \text{(v)} \quad & \nabla_j \xi^h = h_j^h f_y^x , \\ \text{(vi)} \quad & \nabla_j \xi^x = -f_j^x - h_{ji}^x \xi^t . \end{aligned}$$

I. The case in which ξ^x is tangent to M^n . Suppose that $n = m + 1$. Then the codimension of M^n is $2m + 1 - n = n - 1$, and consequently $[f_y^h, \xi^h]$ and $\begin{bmatrix} f_i^y \\ \xi_i \end{bmatrix}$ are both square matrices and satisfy

$$[f_y^h, \xi^h] \begin{bmatrix} f_i^y \\ \xi_i^y \end{bmatrix} = \text{unit matrix}$$

because of (3.10) (i). Thus we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_i^x \\ \xi_i^x \end{bmatrix} [f_y^i, \xi^i] = \text{unit matrix},$$

from which it follows that

$$(3.14) \quad f_i^x f_y^i = \delta_y^x, \quad f_i^x \xi^i = 0, \quad \xi_i f_y^i = 0, \quad \xi_i \xi^i = 1.$$

By remembering that $\xi_i \xi^i + \xi_x \xi^x = 1$, we further find $\xi^x = 0$ and hence ξ^* is tangent to M^n .

In general suppose that ξ^* is tangent to M^n , that is, $\xi^x = 0$. Then (3.10) becomes

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & f_i^y f_y^h = \delta_i^h - \xi_i \xi^h, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & f_i^y f_y^x = 0, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & f_y^x f_x^h = 0, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & f_y^x f_x^x = -\delta_y^x + f_y^i f_i^x, \\ \text{(v)} \quad & f_i^x \xi^i = 0, \\ \text{(vi)} \quad & \xi_i \xi^i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.15)(iii) and (iv) we see that f_y^x defines a so-called *f-structure* in the normal bundle (see Yano [18]). In this case (3.13) becomes

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & -g_{ji} \xi^h + \delta_j^h \xi_i = -h_{j_i^x} f_x^h + h_{j^h} x f_i^x, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \nabla_j f_i^x = -h_{j_i^y} f_y^x, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \nabla_j f_y^h = h_{j^h} x f_y^x, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & \nabla_j f_y^x = -h_{j_i^x} f_y^i + h_{j^i} y f_i^x, \\ \text{(v)} \quad & \nabla_j \xi^h = 0, \\ \text{(vi)} \quad & h_{j_i^x} \xi^i + f_j^x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3.16)(v) shows that whenever the vector field ξ^* is tangent to an anti-invariant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold, it is parallel over the submanifold.

(3.16)(i) shows that an anti-invariant submanifold tangent to ξ^* cannot be totally umbilical or totally contact umbilical. For, if $h_{j_i^x}$ is of the form $(\alpha g_{ji} + \beta \xi_j \xi_i) h^x$, then from (3.16)(i) we have

$$-g_{ji} \xi^h + \delta_j^h \xi_i = -(\alpha g_{ji} + \beta \xi_j \xi_i) h^x f_x^h + (\alpha \delta_j^h + \beta \xi_j \xi^h) h_x f_i^x,$$

from which, by contracting with respect to h and j and using (3.15)(v) we obtain

$$(n-1)\xi^i = (n-1)\alpha h_x f_i^x + \beta h_x f_i^x,$$

and consequently transvecting with ξ^i and using (3.15)(v) give $(n-1)\xi_i \xi^i = 0$, which is a contradiction for $n > 1$.

We now come back to the case $n = m + 1$. In this case, from the first equation of (3.14) and (3.15)(iv), we have $f_y^z f_z^x = 0$ or $f_{yx} f^{yx} = 0$ because $f_{yx} = f_y^z g_{zx}$ is skew-symmetric and $f_y^x = 0$. Thus (3.16)(ii) becomes

$$(3.17) \quad \nabla_j f_i^x = 0,$$

from which, using the Ricci identity we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad K_{kji}{}^h f_h^x - K_{k jy}{}^x f_i^y = 0,$$

where $K_{kji}{}^h$ (respectively, $K_{k jy}{}^x$) is the curvature tensor of M^n (respectively, the normal bundle of M^n).

From (3.18) we have, taking account of the first equation of (3.14) and (3.15)(i),

$$(3.19) \quad K_{k jy}{}^x f_i^y f_x^h = K_{k ji}{}^h,$$

$$(3.20) \quad K_{k ji}{}^h f_y^i f_h^x = K_{k jy}{}^x,$$

because of $K_{k ji}{}^h \xi^i = 0$ derived from (3.16)(v). (3.19) and (3.20) show that $K_{k ji}{}^h = 0$ and $K_{k jy}{}^x = 0$ are equivalent.

II. The case in which ξ^x is normal to M^n . Now suppose that ξ^x is normal to M^n , that is, $\xi^h = 0$. Then (3.10) becomes

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} & \quad f_i^y f_y^h = \delta_i^h, \\ \text{(ii)} & \quad f_i^y f_y^x = 0, \\ \text{(iii)} & \quad f_y^z f_z^h = 0, \\ \text{(iv)} & \quad f_y^z f_z^x = -\delta_y^x + \xi_y \xi^x + f_y^i f_i^x, \\ \text{(v)} & \quad f_x^i \xi^x = 0, \\ \text{(vi)} & \quad f_y^x \xi^y = 0, \\ \text{(vii)} & \quad \xi_y \xi^y = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(3.21) (iii), (iv) and (vi) show that f_y^x defines an f -structure in the normal bundle. In this case, (3.13) becomes

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} & \quad -h_{ji}{}^x f_x^h + h_j^h f_i^x = 0, \\ \text{(ii)} & \quad \nabla_j f_i^x = g_{ji} \xi^x - h_{ji}{}^y f_y^x, \\ \text{(iii)} & \quad \nabla_j f_y^h = \delta_j^h \xi_y + h_j^h f_y^x, \\ \text{(iv)} & \quad \nabla_j f_y^x = -h_{ji}{}^x f_y^i + h_j^i f_y^x, \end{aligned}$$

(v) $h_j^h f_y^y \xi^y = 0$,

(vi) $\nabla_j \xi^x = -f_j^x$.

From (3.21)(i) it follows that $f_{iy} f^{yi} = n$, and consequently by (3.21)(iv) and (vii) we find

$$-f_{zy} f^{zy} = -(2m + 1 - n) + 1 + n = -2(m - n).$$

Thus, if $n = m$, then we have $f_y^x = 0$, and (3.21) and (3.22) become respectively

(3.23) (i) $f_i^y f_y^h = \delta_i^h$,
 (ii) $f_i^x f_y^i = \delta_y^x - \xi_y \xi^x$,
 (iii) $f_x^h \xi^x = 0$,
 (iv) $\xi_x \xi^x = 1$;

(3.24) (i) $-h_{ji}^x f_x^h + h_j^h f_i^x = 0$,
 (ii) $\nabla_j f_i^x = g_{ji} \xi^x$,
 (iii) $\nabla_j f_y^h = \delta_j^h \xi_y$,
 (iv) $-h_{ji}^x f_y^i + h_j^i f_i^x = 0$,
 (v) $h_j^h f_y^y \xi^y = 0$,
 (vi) $\nabla_i \xi^x = -f_i^x$.

Suppose that M^n is totally umbilical, and put $h_{ji}^x = g_{ji} h^x$. Then from (3.24)(i) we have

$$-g_{ji} h^x f_x^h + \delta_j^h h_x f_i^x = 0,$$

which implies $h^x f_x^h = 0$ for $n > 1$. From (3.24)(iv) it follows that

$$-h^x f_{yj} + h_y f_j^x = 0,$$

from which, by transvecting with h^y and using $f_{yj} h^y = 0$ we have $h_y h^y f_j^x = 0$, and consequently $h_y h^y = 0$ and hence $h_y = 0$. Thus M^n must be totally geodesic.

By (3.24)(ii) and (vi), we find

$$\nabla_j \nabla_i \xi^x = -g_{ji} \xi^x,$$

from which, using the Ricci identity we obtain

$$K_{kij}^x \xi^y = 0.$$

On the other hand, from (3.24)(ii) and (vi), we have, using the Ricci identity,

$$-K_{kj\dot{i}}{}^h f_h{}^x + K_{k\dot{j}y}{}^x f_i{}^y = -f_k{}^x g_{j\dot{i}} + f_j{}^x g_{k\dot{i}},$$

which, together with (3.23)(i), implies that

$$(3.25) \quad K_{k\dot{j}i}{}^h = K_{k\dot{j}y}{}^x f_i{}^y f_x{}^h + \delta_k^h g_{j\dot{i}} - \delta_j^h g_{k\dot{i}}$$

and that, in consequence of $K_{k\dot{j}y}{}^x \xi^y = 0$ and (3.23)(ii),

$$(3.26) \quad K_{k\dot{j}y}{}^x = K_{k\dot{j}i}{}^h f_y{}^i f_h{}^x + f_{y\dot{k}} f_j{}^x - f_{y\dot{j}} f_k{}^x.$$

(3.25) and (3.26) show that M^n is of constant curvature 1 if and only if the connection induced in the normal bundle is of zero curvature.

4. Anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor

We first of all remember that the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci are respectively

$$(4.1) \quad K_{kj\dot{i}h} = K_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} B_{k\dot{j}i\dot{h}}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} + h_{k\dot{h}x} h_{j\dot{i}}{}^x - h_{j\dot{h}x} h_{k\dot{i}}{}^x,$$

$$(4.2) \quad 0 = K_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} B_{k\dot{j}i}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} C_y{}^\epsilon - (V_k h_{j\dot{i}y} - V_j h_{k\dot{i}y}),$$

$$(4.3) \quad K_{k\dot{j}y\dot{x}} = K_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} B_{k\dot{j}y\dot{x}}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} - (h_k{}^t{}_\nu h_{j\dot{t}x} - h_j{}^t{}_\nu h_{k\dot{t}x}),$$

where $K_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon}$, $K_{k\dot{j}i\dot{h}}$ and $K_{k\dot{j}y\dot{x}}$ are the covariant components of the curvature tensors of M^{2m+1} , M^n and the normal bundle respectively, $B_{k\dot{j}i\dot{h}}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} = B_k{}^\nu B_j{}^\mu B_i{}^\lambda B_h{}^\epsilon$ and $B_{k\dot{j}i}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} = B_k{}^\nu B_j{}^\mu B_i{}^\lambda$.

We assume that the contact Bochner curvature tensor of M^{2m+1} vanishes identically. Then from (2.1) we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &K_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} + (g_{\nu\epsilon} - \xi_\nu \xi_\epsilon) L_{\mu\lambda} - (g_{\mu\epsilon} - \xi_\mu \xi_\epsilon) L_{\nu\lambda} + L_{\nu\epsilon} (g_{\mu\lambda} - \xi_\mu \xi_\lambda) \\ &- L_{\mu\epsilon} (g_{\nu\lambda} - \xi_\nu \xi_\lambda) + \varphi_{\nu\epsilon} M_{\mu\lambda} - \varphi_{\mu\epsilon} M_{\nu\lambda} + M_{\nu\epsilon} \varphi_{\mu\lambda} - M_{\mu\epsilon} \varphi_{\nu\lambda} \\ &- 2(\varphi_{\nu\mu} M_{\lambda\epsilon} + M_{\nu\mu} \varphi_{\lambda\epsilon}) + (\varphi_{\nu\epsilon} \varphi_{\mu\lambda} - \varphi_{\mu\epsilon} \varphi_{\nu\lambda} - 2\varphi_{\nu\mu} \varphi_{\lambda\epsilon}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which, by using $g_{\mu\lambda} B_{j\dot{i}}^{\mu\lambda} = g_{j\dot{i}}$, $\varphi_{\mu\lambda} B_{j\dot{i}}^{\mu\lambda} = 0$, $\varphi_{\mu\lambda} B_j{}^\mu C_y{}^\lambda = -f_{jy}$, $\varphi_{\mu\lambda} C_{y\dot{x}}^{\mu\lambda} = f_{y\dot{x}}$, $\xi_\nu B_k{}^\nu = \xi_k$ and $\xi_\nu C_y{}^\nu = \xi_y$, we find

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &K_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} B_{k\dot{j}i\dot{h}}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} + (g_{k\dot{h}} - \xi_k \xi_{\dot{h}}) L_{j\dot{i}} - (g_{j\dot{h}} - \xi_j \xi_{\dot{h}}) L_{k\dot{i}} \\ &+ L_{k\dot{h}} (g_{j\dot{i}} - \xi_j \xi_{\dot{i}}) - L_{j\dot{h}} (g_{k\dot{i}} - \xi_k \xi_{\dot{i}}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &K_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} B_{k\dot{j}i}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} C_y{}^\epsilon - \xi_k \xi_y L_{j\dot{i}} + \xi_j \xi_y L_{k\dot{i}} + L_{k\dot{y}} (g_{j\dot{i}} - \xi_j \xi_{\dot{i}}) \\ &- L_{j\dot{y}} (g_{k\dot{i}} - \xi_k \xi_{\dot{i}}) - f_{k\dot{y}} M_{j\dot{i}} + f_{j\dot{y}} M_{k\dot{i}} + 2M_{k\dot{j}i\dot{y}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &K_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} B_{k\dot{j}y\dot{x}}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} - \xi_k \xi_x L_{j\dot{y}} + \xi_j \xi_x L_{k\dot{y}} - L_{k\dot{x}} \xi_j \xi_y + L_{j\dot{x}} \xi_k \xi_y - f_{k\dot{x}} M_{j\dot{y}} \\ &+ f_{j\dot{x}} M_{k\dot{y}} - M_{k\dot{x}} f_{j\dot{y}} + M_{j\dot{x}} f_{k\dot{y}} - 2M_{k\dot{j}y\dot{x}} + (f_{k\dot{x}} f_{j\dot{y}} - f_{j\dot{x}} f_{k\dot{y}}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{jt} &= L_{\mu\lambda} B_{ji}^{\mu\lambda}, & L_{ky} &= L_{\mu\lambda} B_k^{\mu} C_y^{\lambda}, \\ M_{jt} &= M_{\mu\lambda} B_{ji}^{\mu\lambda}, & M_{ky} &= M_{\mu\lambda} B_k^{\mu} C_y^{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $M_{\mu\lambda} = -L_{\mu\alpha} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}$, we have

$$M_{jt} = -L_{\mu\alpha} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} B_{ji}^{\mu\lambda} = L_{\mu\alpha} B_j^{\mu} f_i^{\alpha} C_x^{\alpha},$$

that is,

$$(4.9) \quad M_{jt} = L_{jx} f_i^x,$$

and also

$$M_{ky} = -L_{\mu\alpha} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} B_k^{\mu} C_y^{\lambda} = -L_{\mu\alpha} B_k^{\mu} (f_y^i B_i^{\alpha} + f_y^x C_x^{\alpha}),$$

that is,

$$(4.10) \quad M_{ky} = -L_{kt} f_y^i - L_{kx} f_y^x.$$

Thus (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) can be written respectively as

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{kji}h + (g_{kh} - \xi_k \xi_h) L_{jt} - (g_{jh} - \xi_j \xi_h) L_{kt} + L_{kh} (g_{jt} - \xi_j \xi_t) \\ - L_{jh} (g_{kt} - \xi_k \xi_t) - (h_{khx} h_{ji}^x - h_{jhx} h_{kt}^x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (\xi_k L_{jt} - \xi_j L_{kt}) \xi_y - L_{ky} (g_{jt} - \xi_j \xi_t) + L_{jy} (g_{kt} - \xi_k \xi_t) \\ + f_{ky} M_{jt} - f_{jy} M_{kt} - 2M_{kj} f_{iy} - (V_k h_{jty} - V_j h_{kty}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{kpyx} - (\xi_k L_{jy} - \xi_j L_{ky}) \xi_x - (L_{kx} \xi_j - L_{jx} \xi_k) \xi_y \\ + M_{ky} f_{jx} - M_{jy} f_{kx} + f_{ky} M_{jx} - f_{jy} M_{kx} - 2M_{kj} f_{yx} \\ + (f_{kx} f_{jy} - f_{jx} f_{ky}) + (h_k^t h_{jtx} - h_j^t h_{ktx}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

I. The case in which the vector field ξ^t is tangent to M^n . We now assume that $n = m + 1$. Then the vector field ξ^t is tangent to M^n and $f_y^x = 0$. Thus (4.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} K_{kpyx} - f_{kx} M_{jy} + f_{jx} M_{ky} - M_{kx} f_{jy} + M_{jx} f_{ky} \\ + (f_{kx} f_{jy} - f_{jx} f_{ky}) + (h_k^t h_{jtx} - h_j^t h_{ktx}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which, by transvecting with $f_i^y f_h^x$ and using $f_{jx} f_i^x = g_{ji} - \xi_j \xi_i$ derived from (3.15)(i), we find

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{kpyx} f_i^y f_h^x - (g_{kh} - \xi_k \xi_h) M_{jy} f_i^y + (g_{jh} - \xi_j \xi_h) M_{ky} f_i^y \\ - M_{kx} f_h^x (g_{jt} - \xi_j \xi_t) + M_{jx} f_h^x (g_{kt} - \xi_k \xi_t) \\ + (g_{kh} - \xi_k \xi_h) (g_{jt} - \xi_j \xi_t) - (g_{jh} - \xi_j \xi_h) (g_{kt} - \xi_k \xi_t) \\ + (h_k^t h_{jtx} - h_j^t h_{ktx}) f_i^y f_h^x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We now assume that the second fundamental tensors are commutative. Then from (3.19) and (4.14) we have

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &K_{kji h} + (g_{kh} - \xi_k \xi_h) N_{ji} - (g_{jh} - \xi_j \xi_h) N_{ki} \\ &+ N_{kh} (g_{ji} - \xi_j \xi_i) - N_{jh} (g_{ki} - \xi_k \xi_i) \\ &+ (g_{kh} - \xi_k \xi_h) (g_{ji} - \xi_j \xi_i) - (g_{jh} - \xi_j \xi_h) (g_{ki} - \xi_k \xi_i) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $N_{ji} = -M_{jy} f_i^y$.

Now since the vector field ξ^h is parallel, the Riemannian manifold M^n is locally a product of M^{n-1} and M^1 generated by ξ^h , and M^{n-1} is totally geodesic in M^n . We represent M^{n-1} in M^n by parametric equations $y^h = y^h(z^a)$ ($a, b, c, d, \dots = 1'', 2'', \dots, (n-1)''$), and put $B_b^h = \partial y^h / \partial z^b$. Then we have $\xi_i B_b^i = 0$, and the curvature tensor K_{dcba} of M^{n-1} is given by

$$(4.16) \quad K_{dcba} = K_{kji h} B_{dcba}^{kjih},$$

where $B_{dcba}^{kjih} = B_a^k B_c^j B_b^i B_d^h$. Thus transvecting (4.15) with B_{dcba}^{kjih} , we obtain

$$(4.17) \quad K_{dcba} + g_{da} C_{cb} - g_{ca} C_{db} + C_{da} g_{cb} - C_{ca} g_{db} = 0,$$

where $g_{cb} = g_{jt} B_c^j B_b^t$ is the metric tensor of M^{n-1} and

$$C_{cb} = N_{jt} B_c^j B_b^t + \frac{1}{2} g_{cb}.$$

(4.17) shows that the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of M^{n-1} vanishes, and M^{n-1} is conformally flat if $n - 1 \geq 4$. Thus we have

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M^n, n \geq 5$, be an anti-invariant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold M^{2n-1} with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor. If the second fundamental tensors of M^n commute, then M^n is locally a product of a conformally flat Riemannian space and a 1-dimensional space.*

II. The case in which the vector field ξ^r is normal to M^n . We now consider the case in which the vector field ξ^r is normal to the anti-invariant submanifold M^n , so that $\xi^h = 0$. Then from (4.11) we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &K_{kji h} + g_{kh} L_{ji} - g_{jh} L_{ki} + L_{kh} g_{ji} - L_{jh} g_{ki} \\ &- (h_{k h x} h_{ji}^x - h_{j h x} h_{ki}^x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If M^n is umbilical, that is, if $h_{jix} = g_{jt} h_x^t$, then we can write (4.18) in the form

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} &K_{kji h} + g_{kh} (L_{ji} - \frac{1}{2} h_x^x h^x g_{ji}) - g_{jh} (L_{ki} - \frac{1}{2} h_x^x h^x g_{ki}) \\ &+ (L_{kh} - \frac{1}{2} h_x^x h^x g_{kh}) g_{ji} - (L_{jh} - \frac{1}{2} h_x^x h^x g_{jh}) g_{ki} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of M^n vanishes. Thus we have

Theorem 4.2. *Let $M^n, n \geq 4$, be a totally umbilical anti-invariant submanifold normal to the structure vector field ξ^x of a Sasakian manifold M^{2m+1} with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor. Then M^n is conformally flat.*

Next from (4.13) we obtain

$$(4.20) \quad K_{k j y x} + M_{k y} f_{j x} - M_{j y} f_{k x} + f_{k y} M_{j x} - f_{j y} M_{k x} + 2 M_{k j} f_{y x} + (f_{k x} f_{j y} - f_{j x} f_{k y}) + (h_k^t h_{j t x} - h_j^t h_{k t x}) = 0 .$$

If $n = m$, which implies that $f_y^x = 0$, and the second fundamental tensors of M^n commute, then from (4.20) we have

$$(4.21) \quad K_{k j y x} - f_{k x} M_{j y} + f_{j x} M_{k y} - M_{k x} f_{j y} + M_{j x} f_{k y} + (f_{k x} f_{j y} - f_{j x} f_{k y}) = 0 ,$$

from which, by transvecting with $f_i^y f_n^x$ and using (3.23)(i), we find

$$(4.22) \quad K_{k j y x} f_i^y f_n^x - g_{k n} M_{j y} f_i^y + g_{j n} M_{k y} f_i^y - M_{k y} f_n^y g_{j i} + M_{j y} f_n^y g_{k i} + (g_{k n} g_{j i} - g_{j n} g_{k i}) = 0 .$$

Substituting (4.22) in (3.25) yields

$$(4.23) \quad K_{k j t n} - g_{k n} M_{j y} f_i^y + g_{j n} M_{k y} f_i^y - M_{k y} f_n^y g_{j i} + M_{j y} f_n^y g_{k i} = 0 ,$$

which shows that the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of M^n vanishes. Thus we have

Theorem 4.3. *Let $M^n, n \geq 4$, be an anti-invariant submanifold normal to the structure vector field ξ^x of a Sasakian manifold M^{2n+1} with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor. If the second fundamental tensors commute, then M^n is conformally flat.*

5. Sasakian manifolds as fibred spaces with invariant Riemannian metric

It is well known that in a Sasakian manifold we have

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{L} g_{\mu \lambda} = 0 , \quad \mathcal{L} \varphi_\lambda^x = 0 , \quad \mathcal{L} \xi_\lambda = 0 ,$$

where \mathcal{L} denotes the operator of Lie derivation with respect to the structure vector field ξ^x . Thus, assuming that ξ^x is regular, we can regard a Sasakian manifold M^{2m+1} as a fibred space with invariant Riemannian metric (see Yano and Ishihara [24]). Denoting $2m$ functionally independent solutions of

$$\xi^i \partial_i u = 0$$

by $u^h(x)$, we see that u^h are local coordinates of the base space M^{2m} . We put

$$(5.2) \quad E_\lambda^h = \partial_\lambda u^h , \quad E_\lambda = \xi_\lambda , \quad E^x = \xi^x ,$$

where and in the sequel the indices h, i, j, \dots run over the range $\{1', 2', \dots, (2m)'\}$. Then we have

$$E^i E_i^h = 0, \quad E^i E_i = 1.$$

Since E_i^h and E_i are linearly independent, we put

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_i^h \\ E_i \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = [E_i^i, E^i].$$

Then we have

$$(5.3) \quad E_i^h E^i = \delta_i^h, \quad E_i^h E^i = 0, \quad E_i E_i^i = 0, \quad E_i E^i = 1,$$

$$(5.4) \quad E_i^i E^i + E_i E^i = \delta_i^i.$$

For the Lie derivatives of E 's we have

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{L}E_i^h = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}E_i = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}E_i^i = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}E^i = 0.$$

Thus using $\mathcal{L}g_{\mu\lambda} = 0$ and (5.5) we see that

$$(5.6) \quad g_{ji} = g_{\mu\lambda} E_i^\mu E_j^\lambda$$

is the metric tensor of the base space M^{2m} . From (5.6) we have

$$(5.7) \quad g_{\mu\lambda} = g_{ji} E_i^j E_\mu^i + E_\mu E_\lambda.$$

It will be easily verified that

$$(5.8) \quad E_i^i = E_i^j g^{jk} g_{ki}, \quad E^i = E_i g^{ik}, \quad E_i^h = E_i^\mu g_{\mu\lambda} g^{\lambda h}, \quad E_\lambda = E^\mu g_{\mu\lambda},$$

where g^{ih} are contravariant components of the metric tensor g_{ji} of the base space M^{2m} . Also using $\mathcal{L}\varphi_i^k = 0$ and (5.5) we see that

$$(5.9) \quad F_i^h = \varphi_i^k E_i^k E_\epsilon^h$$

is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ of the base space M^{2m} and defines an almost complex structure of M^{2m} . From (5.6) and (5.9) we easily find

$$(5.10) \quad g_{i\epsilon} F_j^i F_\epsilon^s = g_{ji},$$

which shows that g_{ji} is a Hermitian metric with respect to this almost complex structure. Thus the base space M^{2m} is an almost Hermitian manifold.

From (5.9) it follows that

$$(5.11) \quad \varphi_i^k E_i^k = F_i^h E_\epsilon^h, \quad \varphi_i^k E_\epsilon^h = F_i^h E_i^k, \quad \varphi_i^k = F_i^h E_i^k E_\epsilon^h.$$

For a function $f(u(x))$ on the base manifold M^{2m} we have

$$(5.12) \quad \partial_i f = E_\lambda^i \partial_i f, \quad \partial_i f = E_\lambda^i \partial_i f,$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial u^i$.

Now using (5.7) we compute the Christoffel symbols $\{\mu^\epsilon\}$ formed with $g_{\mu\lambda}$ and find

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\mu^\epsilon\} &= \{j^h_i\} E_\mu^j E_\lambda^i E_\epsilon^h + (\partial_\mu E_\lambda^h) E_\epsilon^h + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu E_\lambda + \partial_\lambda E_\mu) E_\epsilon^\mu \\ &+ E_\mu \varphi_i^\epsilon + E_i \varphi_\mu^\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{j^h_i\}$ are Christoffel symbols formed with g_{jt} . From (5.13) we have, in consequence of (5.11),

$$(5.14) \quad \partial_\mu E_\lambda^h - \{\mu^\epsilon\} E_\epsilon^h + \{j^h_i\} E_\mu^j E_\lambda^i = -(E_\mu E_\lambda^i + E_\lambda E_\mu^i) F_i^h.$$

Putting

$$(5.15) \quad \nabla_\mu E_\lambda^h = \partial_\mu E_\lambda^h - \{\mu^\epsilon\} E_\epsilon^h + \{j^h_i\} E_\mu^j E_\lambda^i,$$

we have, from (5.14),

$$(5.16) \quad \nabla_\mu E_\lambda^h = -(E_\mu E_\lambda^i + E_\lambda E_\mu^i) F_i^h.$$

Thus putting $\nabla_j = E_\mu^j \nabla_\mu$ we find

$$(5.17) \quad \nabla_j E_\lambda^h = -F_j^h E_\lambda,$$

from which it follows that

$$(5.18) \quad \nabla_j E_\lambda^i = -F_{ji} E_\lambda^i,$$

where $F_{ji} = F_j^i g_{ii}$. Thus by (5.9), (5.17) and (5.18) we obtain

$$(5.19) \quad \nabla_j F_i^h = 0,$$

which shows that the base manifold M^{2m} is Kaehlerian.

From (5.16) and the Ricci identity

$$\nabla_j \nabla_\mu E_\lambda^h - \nabla_\mu \nabla_j E_\lambda^h = -K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^\epsilon E_\epsilon^h + K_{kji}^h E_\nu^k E_\mu^j E_\lambda^i,$$

we find

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{kji}^h E_\nu^k E_\mu^j E_\lambda^i &= K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^\epsilon E_\epsilon^h - (E_\nu E_\mu^h - E_\mu E_\nu^h) E_\lambda \\ &+ (E_\nu^i \varphi_{\mu\lambda} - E_\mu^i \varphi_{\nu\lambda} - 2\varphi_{\nu\mu} E_\lambda^i) F_i^h, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(5.21) \quad K_{kji}^h = K_{\nu\mu\lambda}^\epsilon E_{kji}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} + (F_{k\lambda} F_{ji} - F_{j\lambda} F_{ki} - 2F_{kj} F_{i\lambda}),$$

where $E_{kji}^{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} = E_\nu^k E_\mu^j E_\lambda^i E_\epsilon^h$.

6. Sasakian manifolds with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor as a fibred space with invariant Riemannian metric

We now assume that the contact Bochner curvature tensor of the Sasakian manifold M^{2m+1} vanishes identically. Then transvecting (4.4) with $E_{kjih}^{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa}$ we find

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & K_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} E_{kjih}^{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} + g_{kh} L_{ji} - g_{jh} L_{ki} + L_{kh} g_{ji} - L_{jh} g_{ki} \\ & + F_{kh} M_{ji} - F_{jh} M_{ki} + M_{kh} F_{ji} - M_{jh} F_{ki} \\ & - 2(F_{kj} M_{ih} + M_{kj} F_{ih}) + (F_{kh} F_{ji} - F_{jh} F_{ki} - 2F_{kj} F_{ih}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_{ji} = L_{\mu\lambda} E^{\mu}{}_j E^{\lambda}{}_i, \quad M_{ji} = M_{\mu\lambda} E^{\mu}{}_j E^{\lambda}{}_i.$$

Thus we have

$$M_{ji} = -L_{\mu\alpha} \varphi_{\lambda}{}^{\alpha} E^{\mu}{}_j E^{\lambda}{}_i = -L_{\mu\alpha} E^{\mu}{}_j F_i{}^t E^{\alpha}{}_t,$$

that is,

$$(6.2) \quad M_{ji} = -L_{jt} F_i{}^t,$$

which implies that

$$(6.3) \quad L_{jt} = M_{jt} F_i{}^t.$$

Substituting (6.1) in (5.21) we find

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & K_{kjth} + g_{kh} L_{ji} - g_{jh} L_{ki} + L_{kh} g_{ji} - L_{jh} g_{ki} + F_{kh} M_{ji} - F_{jh} M_{ki} \\ & + M_{kh} F_{ji} - M_{jh} F_{ki} - 2(F_{kj} M_{ih} + M_{kj} F_{ih}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which, by transvecting with g^{kh} and using (6.2), we find

$$(6.5) \quad K_{ji} = -2(m+2)L_{ji} - Lg_{ji},$$

where $L = g^{jt} L_{jt}$, from which transvecting with g^{ji} gives

$$(6.6) \quad K = -4(m+1)L \quad \text{or} \quad L = -\frac{1}{4(m+1)}K.$$

Substituting (6.6) in (6.5) we find

$$(6.7) \quad L_{ji} = -\frac{1}{2(m+2)}K_{ji} + \frac{1}{8(m+1)(m+2)}Kg_{ji}.$$

Thus (6.4) shows that the Bochner curvature tensor of the base space M^{2m} vanishes. Hence we have

Theorem 6.1. *Let M^{2m+1} be a Sasakian manifold with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor regarded as a fibred space with invariant Riemannian metric. Then the Bochner curvature tensor of the Kaehlerian base space vanishes.*

Bibliography

- [1] D. E. Blair, *On the geometric meaning of the Bochner tensor*, *Geometriae Dedicata*, **4** (1975) 33–38.
- [2] D. E. Blair & K. Ogiue, *Geometry of integral submanifolds of a contact distribution*, *Illinois J. Math.* **19** (1975) 269–276.
- [3] S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers. II*, *Ann. of Math.* **50** (1949) 77–93.
- [4] B. Y. Chen & K. Ogiue, *On totally real submanifolds*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (1974) 257–266.
- [5] B. Y. Chen & K. Yano, *Manifolds with vanishing Weyl or Bochner curvature tensor*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **27** (1975) 106–112.
- [6] C. S. Houh, *Some totally real minimal surfaces in CP^2* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40** (1973) 240–244.
- [7] M. Kon, *Totally real submanifolds in a Kaehlerian manifold*, *J. Differential Geometry* **11** (1976) 251–257.
- [8] G. D. Ludden, M. Okumura & K. Yano, *Totally real submanifolds of complex manifolds*, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fiz. Mat. Natur.* **58** (1975) 346–353.
- [9] —, *A totally real surface in CP^2 that is not totally geodesic*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (1975) 186–190.
- [10] M. Matsumoto, *On Kaehlerian spaces with parallel or vanishing Bochner curvature tensor*, *Tensor*, N. S. **20** (1969) 25–28.
- [11] M. Matsumoto & G. Chūman, *On the C-Bochner curvature tensor*, *TRU. Math.* **5** (1969) 21–30.
- [12] S. Sasaki, *Almost contact manifolds*, Lecture notes. I, 1965, Tôhoku University.
- [13] S. Tachibana, *On the Bochner curvature tensor*, *Natural Sci. Rep., Ochanomizu Univ.* **18** (1967) 15–19.
- [14] S. Tachibana & R. C. Liu, *Notes on Kaehlerian metrics with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor*, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **22** (1970) 313–321.
- [15] H. Takagi & Y. Watanabe, *On the holonomy groups of Kaehlerian manifold with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor*, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **25** (1973) 177–184.
- [16] S. Yamaguchi, M. Kon & T. Ikawa, *C-totally real submanifolds*, *J. Differential Geometry* **11** (1976) 59–64.
- [17] S. Yamaguchi & S. Sato, *On complex hypersurfaces with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor in Kaehlerian manifolds*, *Tensor*, N. S. **22** (1971) 77–81.
- [18] K. Yano, *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , *Tensor*, N. S. **14** (1963) 99–109.
- [19] —, *Manifolds and submanifolds with vanishing Weyl or Bochner curvature tensor*, *Proc. Symposia in Pure Math.* **27** (1975) 253–262.
- [20] —, *On complex conformal connections*, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **26** (1975) 137–151.
- [21] —, *Totally real submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold*, *J. Differential Geometry* **11** (1976) 351–359.
- [22] —, *Differential geometry of totally real submanifolds*, *Topics in differential geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1976, 173–184.
- [23] K. Yano & S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers*, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, No. 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1953.
- [24] K. Yano & S. Ishihara, *Fibred spaces with invariant Riemannian metric*, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **19** (1967) 317–360.
- [25] —, *Kaehlerian manifolds with constant scalar curvature whose Bochner curvature tensor vanishes*, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **3** (1974) 297–304.

- [26] K. Yano & M. Kon, *Totally real submanifolds of complex space forms. I*, Tôhoku Math. J. **28** (1976) 215–225.
- [27] —, *Totally real submanifolds of complex space forms. II*, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. **27** (1976) 385–399.
- [28] —, *Anti-invariant submanifolds of Sasakian space forms. I*, Tôhoku Math. J. **29** (1977) 9–23.
- [29] —, *Anti-invariant submanifolds of Sasakian space forms. II*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **13** (1976) 1–14.

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY